

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/778,794	02/08/2001	Hiroshi Isono	108482	108482 3978	
25944	7590 04/01/2004		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			BURCH, MELODY M		
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDE	9928 RIA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3683		
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	(A U 4/ -)			
		Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/778,794 Examiner	ISONO ET AL. Art Unit	<u> </u>		
2 2	Melody M. Burch	3683	1,,		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress		
THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN COND roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ITION FOR ALLOW ation. A proper reply n places the applica	VANCE. y to a ition in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	s.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,3-7 and 9-12</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8,14 and 16-30</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☑ The drawing correction filed on <u>26 February 2004</u> is	s a)⊠ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exam	niner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 •			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					



Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): upon entry, the amendment will overcome the drawing, specification, and claim objections as well as the 112 rejections set forth in the action mailed 10/27/03.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment is replete with new 112 issues including but not limited to the phrase "the fluid pressure source" in line 6 from the bottom of claim 22, the phrase "the brake operating amount" in lines 2-3 from the bottom of claim 22, the phrase "the opening amount" in line 6 from the bottom of claim 24 which all lack proper antecedent basis in the claims. In line 13 from the bottom of claim 27 the phrase "a master cylinder pressure detector" is indefinite. It is unclear to the Examiner whether the master cylinder pressure detector in claim 27 is intended to be the same or different from that claimed in claim 24 (from which claim 27 depends). The above list is not intended to be exhaustive. With regards to the rejections on the merits, Examiner agrees that the Maehara et al. reference fails to teach the limitation of detecting a bottoming condition based on whether an increasing gradient of the brake operating amount is larger than a predetermined gradient.

Continuation of 10. Other: The changes to newly submitted figure 3 should be made evident either through discussion in the remarks or through the use of a highlights on the drawing.

mmB 3/24/04

> MATTHEW C. GRAHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 310